

Some Bounds for Harary Index of Graphs.

H. S. Ramane, V. V. Manjalapur

Abstract— Harary index of graph G is defined as the sum of reciprocal of distance between all pairs of vertices of the graph G and is denoted by $H(G)$. Eccentricity of vertex v in G is the distance to a vertex farthest from v . In this paper we obtain some bounds for $H(G)$ in terms of eccentricities. Further we extend these results to the self-centered graphs and also we have given simple algorithm to find the Harary index of graphs.

Keywords— Diameter, distance, eccentricity, Harary index, radius, self-centered graph..

1 INTRODUCTION

THROUGHOUT this paper we have consider only simple and connected graph without loops and multiple edges. Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G)$. The distance between two vertices u, v of G is denoted by $d(u, v)$ and is defined as the length of the shortest path between u and v in graph G . The degree of a vertex v in G is the number of edges incident to it and is denoted by $deg(v)$. The eccentricity $e(v)$ of a vertex v is the maximum distance from it to any other vertex,

$$e(v) = \max\{d(u, v) \mid u \in V(G)\}.$$

The radius $r(G)$ of a graph G is the minimum eccentricity of the vertices. A shortest $u - v$ path is often called geodesic. The diameter $d(G)$ of a connected graph G is the length of any longest geodesic. A vertex v is called central vertex of G if $e(v) = r(G)$. A graph is said to be self-centered if every vertex is a central vertex. Thus in a self-centered graph $r(G) = d(G)$. An eccentric vertex of a vertex v is a vertex farthest from v . An eccentric path $P(v)$ is a path of length $e(v)$ joining v and its eccentric vertex. For a given vertex there may exists more than one eccentric path.

The Harary index of graph G denoted by $H(G)$, has been introduced independently by Plavsic et. al [14] and by Ivanciuc et. al [8] in 1993 for the characterization of molecular graphs. If v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n are the vertices of graph G then the Harary index of G is defined as

$$H(G) = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)},$$

- Harishchandra S. Ramane
Karnatak University, Dharwad
Dharwad - 580003, India.
E-mail: hsramane@yahoo.com
- Vinayak V. Manjalapur
Karnatak University, Dharwad
Dharwad - 580003, India.
E-mail: vinu.m001@gmail.com

where $d(v_i, v_j)$ is the distance between vertices v_i and v_j .

The relation between Harary index and other topological indices of graphs and some properties of Harary index, and so on are reported in [5], [6], [8], [19], [20], [21], [22], [23] and its application in pure graph theory or in mathematical chemistry are reported in literature [1], [2], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14], [16], [17].

The distance number of a vertex v_i of graph G denoted by $d(v_i | G)$ is defined as

$$d(v_i | G) = \sum_{i=1}^n d(v_i, v_j).$$

Therefore,

$$H(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d(v_i | G)}$$

Inspired by the result of [15], we calculated the Harary index in terms of eccentricities and extended it for self-centered graphs. For graph theoretic terminology readers can refer [3], [4], [7], [18].

2 MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 2.1 Let G be a connected graph with n vertices, m edges and $e_i = e(v_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$H(G) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[n(n-2) + 2m + 2n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{e_i}{i} - ne_i \right] \quad (1)$$

Further equality holds if and only if for every v_i of G , if $P(v_i)$ is one of the eccentric path of v_i , then for every $v_j \in V(G)$ which is not on $P(v_i)$, $d(v_i, v_j) \leq 2$.

Proof: Let $P(v_i)$ be one of the eccentric path of $v_i \in V(G)$.

Let,

$A_1(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is on eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i\}$,

$A_2(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is adjacent to } v_i \text{ and which is not on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i\}$,

$A_3(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is not adjacent to } v_i \text{ and not on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i\}$.

Clearly, $A_1(v_i) \cup A_2(v_i) \cup A_3(v_i) = V(G)$ and
 $|A_1(v_i)| = e_i + 1$, $|A_2(v_i)| = deg(v_i) - 1$,

$$|A_3(v_i)| = n - e_i - \text{deg}(v_i).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } \sum_{v_j \in A_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} &= \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i}, \\ \sum_{v_j \in A_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} &= \text{deg}(v_i) - 1 \\ \sum_{v_j \in A_3(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} &\leq \frac{(n - e_i - \text{deg}(v_i))}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{d(v_i | G)} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &= \sum_{v_j \in A_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in A_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in A_3(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} + \text{deg}(v_i) - 1 + \frac{(n - e_i - \text{deg}(v_i))}{2} \\ &= \frac{(n + \text{deg}(v_i) - 2)}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} - \frac{e_i}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} H(G) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d(v_i | G)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} + \frac{(n + \text{deg}(v_i) - 2) - \frac{e_i}{2}}{2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left[n(n - 2) + 2m + 2n \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} - ne_i \right]. \end{aligned}$$

For equality,

Let G be a graph and $P(v_i)$ be one of the eccentric paths of $v_i \in V(G)$. Let $A_1(v_i)$, $A_2(v_i)$ and $A_3(v_i)$ be the sets as defined in the first part of the proof of this theorem.

Let $d(v_i, v_j) = 2$, where $v_j \in A_3(v_i)$.

$$\text{Therefore } \sum_{v_j \in A_3(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} = \frac{n - e_i - \text{deg}(v_i)}{2},$$

$$\sum_{v_j \in A_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} = \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i}$$

$$\text{and } \sum_{v_j \in A_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} = \text{deg}(v_i) - 1.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{d(v_i | G)} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &= \sum_{v_j \in A_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in A_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in A_3(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} + \text{deg}(v_i) - 1 + \frac{n - e_i - \text{deg}(v_i)}{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{n + \text{deg}(v_i) - 2}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} - \frac{e_i}{2}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} H(G) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d(v_i | G)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} + \frac{(n + \text{deg}(v_i) - 2) - \frac{e_i}{2}}{2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left[n(n - 2) + 2m + 2n \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} - ne_i \right] \end{aligned}$$

Conversely,

Suppose G is not such graph as defined in the equality part of this theorem. Then there exist at least one vertex $v_j \in A_3(v_i)$ such that $d(v_i, v_j) \geq 3$. Let $A_3(v_i)$ be partitioned into two sets $A_{31}(v_i)$ and $A_{32}(v_i)$, where

$A_{31}(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is not adjacent to } v_i, \text{ not on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i \text{ and } d(v_i, v_j) = 2\}$

$A_{32}(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is not adjacent to } v_i, \text{ not on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i \text{ and } d(v_i, v_j) \geq 3\}$.

Let $|A_{32}(v_i)| = l \geq 1$. So, $|A_{31}(v_i)| = n - e_i - \text{deg}(v_i) - l$.

$$\text{Therefore, } \sum_{v_j \in A_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} = \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i},$$

$$\sum_{v_j \in A_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} = \text{deg}(v_i) - 1,$$

$$\sum_{v_j \in A_{31}(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} = \frac{(n - e_i - \text{deg}(v_i) - l)}{2}$$

$$\text{and } \sum_{v_j \in A_{32}(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} \geq \frac{l}{3}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{d(v_i | G)} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &= \sum_{v_j \in A_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in A_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} + \\ &\quad \sum_{v_j \in A_{31}(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in A_{32}(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} + \text{deg}(v_i) - 1 + \frac{(n - e_i - \text{deg}(v_i) - l)}{2} + \frac{l}{3} \\ &= \frac{(n - e_i - \text{deg}(v_i) - 2)}{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} - \frac{e_i}{2} - \frac{l}{6}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} H(G) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d(v_i | G)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} + \frac{(n - e_i - \text{deg}(v_i) - 2) - \frac{e_i}{2} - \frac{l}{6}}{2} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left[n(n-2) + 2m + 2n \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} - \sum_{i=1}^n e_i - \frac{nl}{3} \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \left[n(n-2) + 2m + 2n \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} - ne_i \right]$$

as $l \geq 1$, which is a contradiction. This contradiction proves the result. \square

Corollary 2.2 Let G be a self-centered graph with n vertices, m edges and radius $r = r(G)$, then

$$H(G) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[n(n-2) + 2m + 2n \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{i} - nr \right].$$

Equality holds if and only if for every vertex v_i of a self-centered graph G , if $P(v_i)$ is one of the eccentric path of v_i then for every $v_j \in V(G)$ which is not on the eccentric path $P(v_i)$, $d(v_i, v_j) \leq 2$.

Proof. For self-centered graph each vertex has same eccentricity equal to the radius r , that is, $e_i = e(v_i) = r$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Therefore from Eq. (1)

$$H(G) \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[n(n-2) + 2m + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{i} - \sum_{i=1}^n r \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left[n(n-2) + 2m + 2n \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{i} - nr \right]$$

The proof of the equality part is similar to the proof of equality part of Theorem 2.1. \square

Theorem 2.3 Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and $e_i = e(v_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$H(G) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[n(n-1) + n \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} - ne_i \right]. \quad (2)$$

Equality holds if and only if for every vertex v_i of G , if $P(v_i)$ is one of the eccentric path of v_i , then for every $v_j \in V(G)$ which is not on $P(v_i)$, $d(v_i, v_j) = 1$.

Proof: Let $e_i = e(v_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $P(v_i)$ be one of the eccentric path of $v_i \in V(G)$.

Let $B_1(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is on eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i\}$,

$B_2(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is not on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i\}$.

Clearly $B_1(v_i) \cup B_2(v_i) = V(G)$ and

$$|B_1(v_i)| = e_i + 1, \quad |B_2(v_i)| = n - e_i - 1.$$

$$\text{Now } \sum_{v_j \in B_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} = \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i},$$

$$\sum_{v_j \in B_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} \leq (n - e_i - 1),$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{d(v_i | G)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)}$$

$$= \sum_{v_j \in B_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in B_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)}$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} + n - e_i - 1.$$

Therefore

$$H(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d(v_i | G)}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} + (n - e_i - 1) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[n(n-1) + n \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} - ne_i \right].$$

For equality,

Let G be a graph and $P(v_i)$ be one of the eccentric paths of $v_i \in V(G)$. Let $B_1(v_i)$ and $B_2(v_i)$ be the sets as defined in the first part of the proof of this theorem.

Let $d(v_i, v_j) = 1$, where $v_j \in B_2(v_i)$.

$$\text{Therefore } \sum_{v_j \in B_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} = n - e_i - 1,$$

$$\text{and } \sum_{v_j \in B_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} = \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{d(v_i | G)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)}$$

$$= \sum_{v_j \in B_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in B_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} + n - e_i - 1.$$

Therefore

$$H(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d(v_i | G)}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} + (n - e_i - 1) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \left[n(n-1) + n \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} - ne_i \right].$$

Conversely,

Suppose G is not such graph as defined in the equality part of this theorem. Then there exist at least one vertex $v_j \in B_2(v_i)$ such that $d(v_i, v_j) \geq 2$. Let $B_2(v_i)$ be

partitioned into two sets $B_{21}(v_i)$ and $B_{22}(v_i)$, where

$B_{21}(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is not on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i \text{ and } d(v_i, v_j) = 1\}$

$B_{22}(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is not on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i \text{ and } d(v_i, v_j) \geq 2\}$.

Let $|B_{22}(v_i)| = l \geq 1$

Therefore $|B_{21}(v_i)| = n - e_i - 1 - l$.

Therefore $\sum_{v_j \in B_{21}(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} = \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i}$,

$\sum_{v_j \in B_{21}(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} = n - e_i - 1 - l$ and $\sum_{v_j \in B_{22}(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} \geq \frac{l}{2}$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{d(v_i | G)} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &= \sum_{v_j \in B_{21}(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in B_{21}(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in B_{22}(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} + (n - e_i - 1 - \frac{l}{2}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H(G) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d(v_i | G)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} + (n - e_i - 1 - \frac{l}{2}) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[n(n-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} + n \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} - ne_i \right] \text{ as } l \geq 1. \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[n(n-1) - \frac{n}{2} + n \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} - ne_i \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Hence the proof. \square

If G is a self-centered graph then $e_i = e(v_i) = r(G)$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Substituting this in Eq. (2) we get following corollary.

Corollary 2.4: Let G be a self-centered graph with n vertices and

radius $r = r(G)$, then $H(G) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[n(n-1) + n \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} - nr \right]$.

Equality holds if and only if for every vertex v_i of a self-centered graph G , if $P(v_i)$ is one of the eccentric path of v_i then for every $v_j \in V(G)$ which is not on the eccentric path $P(v_i)$, $d(v_i, v_j) = 1$.

Theorem 2.5 Let G be a connected graph with n vertices, m edges and $diam(G) = d$. Let $e_i = e(v_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then

$$H(G) \geq \frac{1}{2d} \left[n^2 - ne_i + 2m(d-1) - nd \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} \right) \right]. \quad (3)$$

Equality holds if and only if $diam(G) \leq 2$.

Proof: Let $P(v_i)$ be one of the eccentric path of $v_i \in V(G)$.

Let $A_1(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i\}$,

$A_2(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is adjacent to } v_i \text{ and which is not on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i\}$,

$A_3(v_i) = \{v_j \mid v_j \text{ is not adjacent to } v_i \text{ and not on the eccentric path } P(v_i) \text{ of } v_i\}$.

Clearly $A_1(v_i) \cup A_2(v_i) \cup A_3(v_i) = V(G)$ and

$|A_1(v_i)| = e_i + 1$, $|A_2(v_i)| = deg(v_i) - 1$,

$|A_3(v_i)| = n - e_i - deg(v_i)$.

Now $\sum_{v_j \in A_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} = \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i}$,

$\sum_{v_j \in A_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} = deg(v_i) - 1$

$\sum_{v_j \in A_3(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} \geq \frac{(n - e_i - deg(v_i))}{d}$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{d(v_i | G)} &= \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &= \sum_{v_j \in A_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in A_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in A_3(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} + deg(v_i) - 1 + \frac{(n - e_i - deg(v_i))}{d} \\ &= \left[n - e_i + deg(v_i)(d-1) - d \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} H(G) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{d(v_i | G)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[n - e_i + deg(v_i)(d-1) - d \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2d} \left[n^2 - ne_i + 2m(d-1) - nd \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{i=1}^n deg(v_i) = 2m$.

For equality,

Let $diam(G) \leq 2$.

Case 1: If $diam(G) = 1$ then $G = K_n$. Therefore $A_3(v_i) = \Phi$ and $e_i = e(v_i) = 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Therefore

$$H(G) = \frac{1}{2} \left[n^2 - n(n-1) + 2m(d-1) - nd \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} \right) \right] = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}.$$

Case 2: If $diam(G) = 2$, then for $v_j \in A_3(v_i), d(v_i, v_j) = 2$.

Therefore,
$$\sum_{v_j \in A_3(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} = \frac{(n - e_i - deg(v_i))}{2}.$$

Hence
$$H(G) = \frac{1}{2d} \left[n^2 - ne_i + 2m(d-1) - nd \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left[n(n-2) - ne_i + 2m + 2n \sum_{i=1}^{e_i} \frac{1}{i} \right].$$

Conversely,

$$\frac{1}{d(v_i | G)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)}$$

$$= \sum_{v_j \in A_1(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in A_2(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} + \sum_{v_j \in A_3(v_i)} \frac{1}{d(v_i, v_j)} \quad (4)$$

The first summation of Eq. (4) contains the Harary distance between v_i and the vertices on its eccentric path $P(v_i)$. Second summation of Eq. (4) contains the distance between v_i and its neighbor which are not on the eccentric path $P(v_i)$. The third summation of Eq. (4) contains the distance between v_i and a vertex which is neither adjacent to v_i nor on the eccentric path $P(v_i)$. Hence the equality in Eq. (4) holds if and only if $d = diam(G) \leq 2$. It is true for all $v_i \in V(G)$. Hence $diam(G) \leq 2$. □

Corollary 2.6: Let G be a self-centered graph with n vertices and radius $r = r(G)$, then

$$H(G) \geq \frac{1}{2r} \left[n(n-2r) + 2m(r-1) - nr \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{1}{i} \right) \right]. \quad (5)$$

Equality holds if and only if $diam(G) \leq 2$.

Proof: Proof follows by substituting $e_i = e(v_i) = r, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ in Eq. (3). □

ALGORITHM

Adjacency matrix $A(G)$ of graph G is defined as, the

rows and columns of $A(G)$ are indexed by $V(G)$. If $i \neq j$ then the (i, j) - entry of $A(G)$ is 0 for vertices i and j non-adjacent, and the (i, j) - entry is 1 for i and j adjacent. The (i, i) - entry of $A(G)$ is 0 for $i=1, 2, \dots, n$.

Input: Adjacency matrix of G .

a) Here we propose a simple algorithm to find Harary index of graphs with $diam(G) \leq 2$.

Step1: Declare the order of adjacency matrix of graph G .

Step 2: Consider, for each $(ij)^{th}$ entry

$$a[i][j] = 1 \rightarrow S[i][j] = 1,$$

and

$$a[i][j] = 0 \rightarrow S[i][j] = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Step 4: Corresponding to each i^{th} row the string $S(u_i)$ is

$$S(u_i) = \sum_{a[i][j]=1} S(a[i][j]) + \sum_{a[i][j]=0} S(a[i][j]) - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Step 5: Find the Harary index of graph G as

$$H(G) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n S(u_i).$$

Output: Harary index of graph G with $diam(G) \leq 2$.

b) Here we have given a simple algorithm to find upper bounds for Harary index of graphs.

Input: Adjacency matrix of G .

Step1: Declare the order of adjacency matrix of graph G .

Step 2: Consider for each $(ij)^{th}$ entry

$$a[i][j] = 1 \rightarrow S[i][j] = 1,$$

and

$$a[i][j] = 0 \rightarrow S[i][j] < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Step 4: Corresponding to each i^{th} row the string $S(u_i)$ is

$$S(u_i) < \sum_{a[i][j]=1} S(a[i][j]) + \sum_{a[i][j]=0} S(a[i][j]) - \frac{1}{2}.$$

Step 5: Find the Harary index of graph G as

$$H(G) < \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n S(u_i).$$

Output: Bound for the Harary index of graph G .

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors are thankful to the University Grants

Commission (UGC), Govt. of India for support through research grant under UPE FAR-II grant No. F 14-3/2012 (NS/PE).

REFERENCES

- [1] S.C. Basak, G.J. Niemi and G. D. Veith, "Computational Chemical Graph Theory", *Nova Science*, ed. D. H Rouvaray, Commack, New York, pp.235 1990.
- [2] S. C Basak, J. Monsrud, M. E. Rosen, C. M. Frane and V R. Magnuson, *Acta Pharm. Jugosl.*, vol. 36, no. 81 1986.
- [3] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, "Graph Theory", Springer, 2008.
- [4] F. Buckley, F. Harary, "Distance in Graphs", Addison-Wesley, Redwood 1990.
- [5] K. C. Das, B. Zhou, N. Trinajstić., "Bounds on Harary Index", *J. Math.Chem.* vol. 46, pp. 1369-1376, 2009
- [6] K. C. Das, K. Xu, I. Gutman., "On Zagreb and Harary indices", *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem*, vol. 70, pp. 301-314, 2013.
- [7] F. Harary, "Graph Theory", *Narosa Publishing House*, New Delhi, 1998.
- [8] O. Ivanciuc, T. S. Balaban, A. T. Balaban, "Reciprocal distance matrix, related local vertex invariants and topological indices", *J. Math. Chem*, Vol. 12, pp. 309-318, 1993.
- [9] O. Ivanciuc, QSAR comparative study of Wiener descriptors for weighted molecular graphs. *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* vol. 40, pp. 1412-1422, 2000.
- [10] L. B. Kier and L. H. Hall, "Molecular Connectivity in Chemistry and Drug Research", *Academic Press*, New York, 1976.
- [11] L. B. Kier and L. H. Hall, "Molecular Connectivity in Structure-Activity Analysis", *Research Stu Press*, Letchworth, 1986.
- [12] B. Lučić, I. Lukovits, S. Nikolić, N. Trinajstić, "Distance related indexes in the quantitative structure-property relationship modeling", *J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci.* vol. 41, pp. 527-535, 2001.
- [13] Z. Mihalić, N. Trinajstić, "A graph theoretical approach to structure- property relationships", *J. Chem. Educ.* vol. 69, pp. 701-712, 1992.
- [14] D. Playšić, S. Nikolić, N. Trinajstić, Z. Mihalić, On the Harary index for the characterization for chemical graphs, *J. Math. Chem.*, Vol. 12, pp.235-250, 1993.
- [15] H. S. Ramane and A. B. Ganagi, H. B. Walikar, "Wiener index of graphs in terms of eccentricities", *Iranian Journal of Mathematical Chemistry*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 239-248, 2013.
- [16] R. Todeschini, V. Consonni, "Handbook of Molecular Descriptors", Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2000.
- [17] R. Todeschini, V. Consonni, "Molecular Descriptors for Chemoinformatics", vol. I, vol. II., *Wiley-VCH*, Weinheim, , 2009.
- [18] D. B. West, "Introduction to Graph Theory", PHI Learning, New Delhi, 2009.
- [19] K. Xu, N. Trinajstić, "Hyper-Wiener and Harary indices of graphs with cut edges", *Util. Math.* Vol. 84, pp. 153-163, 2011.
- [20] K. Xu., "Trees with the seven smallest and eight greatest Harary indices", *Discrete Appl. Math.* Vol. 160, pp. 321-331, 2012.
- [21] K. Xu, K. C. Das, Extremal unicyclic and bicyclic graphs with r respect to Harary index. *Bull. Malays. Math. Soc.* Vol. 36, no. 2, 373- 383, 2013.
- [22] K. Xu, K. Das, "On Harary index of graphs", *Discrete Appl. Math.*, vol. 159, pp. 1631-1640, 2011.
- [23] B. Zhou, X. Cai, N. Trinajstić, "On the Harary index", *J. Math. Chem.*, vol. 44, pp. 611-618, 2008.